Logical Relations in Iris

Amin Timany¹ Robbert Krebbers² Lars Birkedal³

¹imec-Distrinet, KU Leuven, Belgium

²Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands

³Aarhus University, Denmark

January 21, 2017 @ CoqPL, Paris, France

Logical Relations

A powerful technique to prove properties of programs and programming languages

- Unary: type safety, (strong) normalization, ...
- Binary: contextual refinement, contextual equivalence, non-interference, ...

In this talk

- Formalization of a unary and binary logical relations
- ► In the Iris program logic which in turn is implemented in Coq
- ▶ For a programming language $(F_{\mu,ref,conc})$ with a very rich type system
- Use it to prove type safety and verify contextual refinement of concurrent algorithms

Define *semantics* of types (by recursion on τ): $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}} : Expr \to \mathsf{Prop}$

Define *semantics* of types (by recursion on τ): $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}} : Expr \to \mathsf{Prop}$ 1. Prove *adequacy*: $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}}(e) \Rightarrow Safe_{\tau}(e)$

Define *semantics* of types (by recursion on τ): $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}} : Expr \to \mathsf{Prop}$

- 1. Prove adequacy: $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}}(e) \Rightarrow Safe_{\tau}(e)$
- 2. Prove compatibility lemmas for typing rules, e.g.:

$$\frac{\llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}}(e_1) \quad \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}}(e_2)}{\llbracket \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}}(e_1, e_1)}$$

Define *semantics* of types (by recursion on τ): $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}} : Expr \to \mathsf{Prop}$

- 1. Prove adequacy: $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}}(e) \Rightarrow Safe_{\tau}(e)$
- 2. Prove compatibility lemmas for typing rules, e.g.:

$$rac{\llbracket au
rbrace ^{\mathcal{L}}(e_1) \hspace{0.1in} \llbracket au
rbrace ^{\mathcal{L}}(e_2) }{\llbracket au
rbrace ^{\mathcal{L}}(e_1 imes au
rbrace ^{\mathcal{L}}(e_1,e_1) }$$

3. Corollary (soundness): $\cdot \vdash e : \tau \Rightarrow Safe_{\tau}(e)$

Remember adequacy: $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}}(e) \Rightarrow \mathit{Safe}_{\tau}(e)$

e need not syntactically be well-typed!

Remember adequacy: $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}}(e) \Rightarrow Safe_{\tau}(e)$

- e need not syntactically be well-typed!
- Compatibility lemmas say nothing about well-typedness:

$$\frac{\llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}}(e_1) \quad \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}}(e_2)}{\llbracket \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}}(e_1, e_1)}$$

Remember adequacy: $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}}(e) \Rightarrow \mathit{Safe}_{\tau}(e)$

- e need not syntactically be well-typed!
- Compatibility lemmas say nothing about well-typedness:

$$rac{[au_1]\!]^{\mathcal{E}}(e_1)}{[\![au_1 imes au_2]\!]^{\mathcal{E}}(e_1,e_1)}$$

Logical relation allows one to modularly prove safety in the presence of untyped code, i.e., when linking with *untyped* but *verified code*, e.g., the *unsafe blocks* of Rust

Motivation for using a high-level logic (Iris)

Recursive types and higher order references

- Recursive types: the logical relation usually involves step-indexing The *crux* of the matter: semantics of a recursive type μX. τ is the fixpoint of the semantics of τ
- References: the logical relation usually involves step-indexing and possible worlds The *crux* of the matter: a memory location is of type ref(τ) if the value stored in it is in the semantics of type τ at *all times*
- ▶ Iris provides support for invariants and taking (guarded) fixpoints

D. Drever et al. $\triangleright(k+1,\Sigma_1,\Sigma_2,\omega) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (k,\Sigma_1,\Sigma_2,|\omega|_k)$ $\triangleright r \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(W, e_1, e_2) \mid W, k > 0 \Rightarrow (\triangleright W, e_1, e_2) \in r\}$ HeapAtom_n $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(W, h_1, h_2) \mid W \in \text{World}_n\}$ HeapRel_u $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ { $\psi \subseteq$ HeapAtom_u | $\forall (W, h_1, h_2) \in \psi$. $\forall W' \supseteq W$. $(W', h_1, h_2) \in \psi$ } $(k', \Sigma'_1, \Sigma'_2, \omega') \supseteq (k, \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \omega) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} k' \leq k \wedge \Sigma'_1 \supseteq \Sigma_1 \wedge \Sigma'_2 \supseteq \Sigma_2 \wedge \omega' \supseteq |\omega|_{k'}$ Island, $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ I = (s, \delta, \omega, \delta, H) \mid s \in \text{State} \land \delta \subseteq \text{State}^2 \land \omega \subseteq \delta \land \delta, \omega \text{ reflexive} \land$ $(\mathbf{i}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{i}'_{-i}) \supseteq (\mathbf{i}_1, \dots, \mathbf{i}_m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m' \ge m \land \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \mathbf{i}'_i \supseteq \mathbf{i}_i$ δ, ϕ transitive $\wedge \notin \subseteq$ State $\wedge H \in$ State \rightarrow HeapRel_n} $(s', \delta', \varphi', \sharp', H') \quad \Box \quad (s, \delta, \varphi, \sharp, H) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \quad (\delta', \varphi', \sharp', H') = (\delta, \varphi, \sharp, H) \land (s, s') \in \delta$ World_n $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{W = (k, \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \omega) \mid k < n \land \exists m, \omega \in \text{Island}_{+}^{m}\}$ ContAtom_n[τ_1, τ_2] $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(W, K_1, K_2) \mid W \in \text{World}_n \land W, \Sigma_1; \cdot; \cdot \vdash K_1 \div \tau_1 \land W, \Sigma_2; \cdot; \cdot \vdash K_2 \div \tau_2\}$ $(k', \Sigma'_1, \Sigma'_2, \omega') \supseteq^{\mathsf{pub}} (k, \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \omega) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} k' \leq k \wedge \Sigma'_1 \supseteq \Sigma_1 \wedge \Sigma'_2 \supseteq \Sigma_2 \wedge \omega' \supseteq^{\mathsf{pub}} |\omega|_{k'}$ Term Atom_n[τ_1, τ_2] $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(W, e_1, e_2) \mid W \in \text{World}_n \land W, \Sigma_1; \dots \vdash e_1; \tau_1 \land W, \Sigma_2; \dots \vdash e_2; \tau_2\}$ $(\iota'_1, \ldots, \iota'_{m'}) \supseteq^{\mathsf{pub}} (\iota_1, \ldots, \iota_m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} m' \ge m \land \forall j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}. \iota'_i \supseteq^{\mathsf{pub}} \iota_i \land$ $\forall i \in \{m+1,\ldots,m'\}$. safe (i'_i) HeapAtom $[\tau_1, \tau_2] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} []_n$ HeapAtom $_n[\tau_1, \tau_2]$ $(s', \delta', \varphi', \varsigma', H') \supseteq^{\mathsf{pub}}(s, \delta, \varphi, \varsigma, H) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\delta', \varphi', \varsigma', H') = (\delta, \varphi, \varsigma, H) \land (s, s') \in \varphi$ World 🖆 💷 World. ContAtom $[\tau_1, \tau_2] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_n \text{ContAtom}_n[\tau_1, \tau_2]$ $\operatorname{safe}(W) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall \iota \in W. \omega. \operatorname{safe}(\iota) \qquad \operatorname{safe}(\iota) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall s'. (\iota.s, s') \in \iota. \varphi \Rightarrow s' \notin \iota. f$ TermAtom $[\tau_1, \tau_2] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} []_{\pi}$ TermAtom $_{\pi}[\tau_1, \tau_2]$ consistent(W) $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} W \otimes I s \in I$ $ValRel[\tau_1, \tau_2] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{r \subseteq TermAtom^{val}[\tau_1, \tau_2] \mid \forall (W, \nu_1, \nu_2) \in r, \forall W' \sqsupset W, (W', \nu_1, \nu_2) \in r\}$ $\boldsymbol{\psi} \otimes \boldsymbol{\psi}' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ (W, h_1 \uplus h'_1, h_2 \uplus h'_2) \mid (W, h_1, h_2) \in \boldsymbol{\psi} \land (W, h'_1, h'_2) \in \boldsymbol{\psi}' \}$ Some ValRel $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{R = (\tau_1, \tau_2, r) \mid r \in \text{ValRel}[\tau_1, \tau_2]\}$ $(h_1, h_2): W \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \vdash h_1: W, \Sigma_1 \land \vdash h_2: W, \Sigma_2 \land (W, k > 0 \Rightarrow (\triangleright W, h_1, h_2) \in \bigotimes \{1, H(1, s) \mid 1 \in W, \omega\}\}$ $|(\iota_1,\ldots,\iota_m)|_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (|\iota_1|_k,\ldots,|\iota_m|_k)$ $[H]_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda s. [H(s)]_k$ $|(s, \delta, \varphi, f, H)|_{k} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (s, \delta, \varphi, f, |H|_{k})$ $|\Psi|_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(W, h_1, h_2) \in r \mid W.k < k\}$ Fig. 5. Worlds and auxiliary definitions

Figure taken from: D. Dreyer, G. Neis, and L. Birkedal. The impact of higher-order state and control effects on local relational reasoning. Journal of Functional Programming. February 2012.

$$\llbracket au
rbrace_{\Delta}^{\mathcal{E}}(e) riangleq \{\mathsf{True}\} e \left\{w, \llbracket au
rbrace_{\Delta}(w)
ight\}$$

$$\llbracket au
rbrace _{\Delta}^{\mathbb{Z}}(e) riangleq \{ \mathsf{True} \} e \{ w. \llbracket au
rbrace _{\Delta}(w) \}$$

 $\llbracket \mathbb{N}
rbrace _{\Delta}(v) riangleq v \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}^{\mathcal{E}}(e) \triangleq \{ \mathsf{True} \} e \{ w. \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}(w) \}$$
$$\llbracket \mathbb{N} \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v) \triangleq v \in \mathbb{N}$$
$$\llbracket \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v) \triangleq \exists v_1, v_2. v = (v_1, v_2) \land \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v_1) \land \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v_2)$$

$$\llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}^{\mathcal{E}}(e) \triangleq \{ \mathsf{True} \} e \{ w. \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}(w) \}$$
$$\llbracket \mathbb{N} \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v) \triangleq v \in \mathbb{N}$$
$$\llbracket \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v) \triangleq \exists v_1, v_2. v = (v_1, v_2) \land \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v_1) \land \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v_2)$$
$$\llbracket \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v) \triangleq \forall v'. \{ \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v') \} v v' \{ w. \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\Delta}(w) \}$$

$$\begin{split} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}^{\mathcal{E}}(e) &\triangleq \{ \mathsf{True} \} e \{ w. \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}(w) \} \\ \llbracket \mathbb{N} \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v) &\triangleq v \in \mathbb{N} \\ \llbracket \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v) &\triangleq \exists v_1, v_2. v = (v_1, v_2) \land \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v_1) \land \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v_2) \\ \llbracket \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v) &\triangleq \forall v'. \{ \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v') \} v v' \{ w. \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\Delta}(w) \} \\ \llbracket \mu X. \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v) &\triangleq \mu f. \exists w. v = \texttt{fold} w \land \triangleright \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}[X \mapsto f](w) \\ \llbracket X \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v) &\triangleq \Delta(X)(v) \end{split}$$

 $[\tau]^{\mathcal{E}}_{\Lambda}(e) \triangleq \{\text{True}\} e \{w, [\tau]_{\Lambda}(w)\}$ $\llbracket \mathbb{N} \rrbracket_{\Lambda}(v) \triangleq v \in \mathbb{N}$ $\llbracket \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{v}) \triangleq \exists \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2) \land \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{v}_1) \land \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\Lambda}(\mathbf{v}_2)$ $[\tau_1 \to \tau_2]_{\wedge}(v) \triangleq \forall v', \{[\tau_1]_{\wedge}(v')\} v v' \{w, [\tau_2]_{\wedge}(w)\}$ $\llbracket \mu X. \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v) \triangleq \mu f. \exists w. v = \operatorname{fold} w \land \triangleright \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta[X \mapsto f]}(w)$ $[X]_{\Lambda}(v) \triangleq \Delta(X)(v)$ $\llbracket \mathsf{ref}(\tau) \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v) \triangleq \exists \ell. v = \ell \land \exists w. \ell \mapsto w * \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}(w) \overset{\mathcal{N}.\ell}{}$

	logrel_unary.v	
From iris,proofmode <u>Require Import</u> tactics. From iris,propram, logic <u>Require Export</u> weakestpre. From iris,logrel.F.mu.ref.conc. <u>Require Export</u> rules typing. From iris,logera. <u>Require Import</u> list <u>er</u> From iris,base_logic <u>Require Import</u> uport Import upred.	<pre>Definition interp_rec: (interp : ListC D - n> D) (Δ : ListC D) (τi : D) : D := λne w, (□ (∃ v, w = FoldV v A > interp (τi :: Δ) v))%I. Global Instance interp_recl_contractive (interp : ListC D - n> D) (Δ : ListC D) : Contractive (interp_rec] interp Δ).</pre>	
Definition logN : namespace := nroot .@ "logN".	<pre>proor. intros n til ti2 Hti w; cbn. apply always_ne, exist_ne; intros v; apply and_ne; trivial.</pre>	
<pre>Section logrel. Context '{heapIG I}. Motation D := (valC -m> iProp I). Implicit Types in iED. Implicit Types in itst D. Implicit Types interp : listC D = D. Program Definition env_lookup (x : var) : listC D = n> D := \ne A, from_option id (cconst n) kI (A !! x). Solve Obligations with solve_proper_alt.</pre>	<pre>apply later_contractive =1 HL. By rewrite HTL. ded. Program Definition interp_rec (interp : listC D -n> D) : listC D -n> D := λne Δ, fixpoint (interp_rec; interp Δ). Next Obligation, intros interp n Δ1 Δ2 HΔ; apply fixpoint_ne = ti w. solve_proper. ded. Program Definition interp_ref_inv (l : loc) : D -n> iProp Σ := λne ti, (3 V, L =1 v = ti vVIL.</pre>	
Definition interp_unit : listC D -n> D := $\lambda ne \Delta v$, (w = UnitY)AI. Definition interp_nat : listC D -n> D := $\lambda ne \Delta v$, ($\exists n, v = snv n$)AI. Definition interp_bool : listC D -n> D := $\lambda ne \Delta v$, ($\exists n, v = snv n$)AI.	Solve Obligations with solve_proper. Program Definition interp_ref (interp : ListC D == 0); ListC D == 0 := Ane A w,	
Program Definition interp.prod [(interp) interp: listC D -n> D) : listC D -n> D := λne Δ w, (3 wy w, w = PairV wy wz A interp1 Δ w1 A interp2 Δ w2)%I. Solve Obligations with solve_proper.	Solve Obligations with solve proper. Fixpoint interp (r: type): listC D -m> D := match x return _with	
Program Definition interp_sum (interp) interp: ListC D -n> D) : ListC D -n> D := λne Δ w, ((3 w ₁ , w = InjRV w ₂ ∧ interp ₁ Δ w ₁) v (3 w ₂ , w = InjRV w ₂ ∧ interp ₂ Δ w ₂)) Solve Obligations with solve_proper.	Thet = interp_nat TBool → interp_bool ¥I. TProd v1 z → interp_srod (interp v1) (interp v2) TSum v1 v2 → interp_sum (interp v1) (interp v2) TArrow v1 v2 → interp_srow (interp v1) (interp v2)	
Program Definition interp_arrow {interp] interp? : listC D -n> D) : listC D -n> D := λne Δ w, (□ Y v, interp] Δ · HP App (of_val w) (of_val v) {{ interp2 Δ }}}kI. Solve Obligations with solve_proper.	TVar x - env_lookup x TForall τ' - interp_forall (interp τ') TRec τ' - interp_rec (interp τ') Tref τ' - interp_ref (interp τ') end. Montation "I τ I" := (intern τ).	
Program Definition interp_forall (interp: listC D -n> D := λne Δ w, (O ¥ τi : D, (¥ γ, PersistentP (τi v)) → WP TApp (of_val w) {{ interp (τi :: Δ) }}) Solve Obligations with solve_proper.	Definition interp.env (Γ : list type) (Λ : list CD) (vs: list val): iProp Σ := (length Γ = length vs. $\frac{1}{4}$ [χ zip.with (Λ τ , [τ] Δ) Γ vs)%I. Modeling "I Γ length vs. $\frac{1}{4}$ [χ zip.with (Λ τ , [τ] Δ) Γ vs)%I.	
<pre>[]U: logrel_unary.v Top (27,0) Git-master (Coq -1 company-</pre>	ي yas hs [U: logrel_unary.v 24% (66,0) Git-master (Coq −1 company-& yas hs	

C fundamental_unary.v			
<pre>from triling interface. See in the set in the set</pre>	<pre> if i = 0 if i = 0</pre>	<pre>Discover fundamental unary y Bot (131.0) Site</pre>	
Introduction Internet and Inter			

Contextual refinement

 e_i contextually refines e_s ($\Gamma \vdash e_i \leq_{ctx} e_s : \tau$):

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{\Gamma} \vdash \mathsf{e}_i \preceq_{\mathsf{ctx}} \mathsf{e}_s : \tau \triangleq \mathsf{\Gamma} \vdash \mathsf{e}_i : \tau \land \\ \mathsf{\Gamma} \vdash \mathsf{e}_s : \tau \land \\ \forall \mathcal{C} : (\mathsf{\Gamma} \vdash \tau \leadsto \vdash 1). \ \mathcal{C}[\mathsf{e}_i] \downarrow \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}[\mathsf{e}_s] \downarrow \end{array}$$

Useful when: e_i is a more efficient version of e_s (e.g., optimized by the compiler) or when e_s is easier to verify than e_i

The idea: Use a binary logical relation such that being related implies contextual refinement

Binary logical relation To establish contextual refinement

Define semantics of types (by recursion on τ): $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}} : Expr \to Expr \to iProp$

Binary logical relation

Define *semantics* of types (by recursion on τ): $[\![\tau]\!]^{\mathcal{E}} : Expr \to Expr \to iProp$ 1. Prove Adequacy: $[\![\tau]\!]^{\mathcal{E}}(e, e') \Rightarrow e \downarrow \Rightarrow e' \downarrow$

Binary logical relation

To establish contextual refinement

Define *semantics* of types (by recursion on τ): $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}} : Expr \to Expr \to iProp$

- 1. Prove Adequacy: $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}}(e, e') \Rightarrow e \downarrow \Rightarrow e' \downarrow$
- 2. Prove *compatibility* lemmas for typing rules, e.g.:

$$\frac{\llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}}(e_1,e_1') \hspace{1em} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}}(e_2,e_2')}{\llbracket \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}}((e_1,e_2),(e_1',e_2'))}$$

Binary logical relation

To establish contextual refinement

Define semantics of types (by recursion on τ): $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}} : Expr \to Expr \to iProp$

- 1. Prove Adequacy: $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}}(e, e') \Rightarrow e \downarrow \Rightarrow e' \downarrow$
- 2. Prove *compatibility* lemmas for typing rules, e.g.:

3. Corollary (soundness): $\Gamma \models e \preceq_{log} e' : \tau \Rightarrow \Gamma \vdash e \preceq_{ctx} e' : \tau$

Binary logical relation (for contextual refinement) Definition in Iris: value relations

$$\begin{split} \llbracket \mathbb{N} \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v, v') &\triangleq v = v' \in \mathbb{N} \\ \llbracket \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v, v') &\triangleq \exists v_1, v_2, v'_1, v'_2. v = (v_1, v_2) \land v' = (v'_1, v'_2) \land \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v_1, v'_1) \land \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v_2, v'_2) \\ \llbracket \mu X. \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v, v') &\triangleq \mu f. \exists w, w'. v = \texttt{fold} \ w \land v' = \texttt{fold} \ w' \land \triangleright \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}[X \mapsto f](w, w') \\ \llbracket X \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v, v') &\triangleq \Delta(X)(v, v') \\ \\ \llbracket \texttt{ref}(\tau) \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v, v') &\triangleq \exists \ell, \ell'. v = \ell \land v' = \ell' \land \exists w, w'. \ell \mapsto_i w * \ell' \mapsto_s w' * \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}(w, w') \\ \end{split}$$

Binary logical relation (for contextual refinement) Definition in Iris: expression relation

The idea¹: simulate the running of the right hand side as *ghost state*

- Ghost state for threads on the right hand side: $j \Rightarrow e$
- ▶ Ghost state for the heap of the right hand side: $\ell \mapsto_s v$

¹See: A. Turon, D. Dreyer, and L. Birkedal. **Unifying refinement and hoare-style reasoning in a logic for higher-order concurrency**. In Proceedings of ICFP, 2013. and M. Krogh-Jespersen, K. Svendsen, and L. Birkedal. **A relational model of types-and-effects in higher-order concurrent separation logic**. In Proceedings of POPL 2017, 2017.

Binary logical relation (for contextual refinement) Definition in Iris: expression relation

The idea¹: simulate the running of the right hand side as *ghost state*

- Ghost state for threads on the right hand side: $j \Rightarrow e$
- Ghost state for the heap of the right hand side: $\ell \mapsto_s v$

 $\llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}}_{\Delta}(e, e') \triangleq \forall j, K \{ j \mapsto K[e'] \} e \{ w. \exists w'. j \mapsto K[w'] * \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}(w, w') \}$

¹See: A. Turon, D. Dreyer, and L. Birkedal. **Unifying refinement and hoare-style reasoning in a logic for higher-order concurrency**. In Proceedings of ICFP, 2013. and M. Krogh-Jespersen, K. Svendsen, and L. Birkedal. **A relational model of types-and-effects in higher-order concurrent separation logic**. In Proceedings of POPL 2017, 2017.

Binary logical relation (for contextual refinement) Definition in Iris: expression relation

The idea¹: simulate the running of the right hand side as *ghost state*

- Ghost state for threads on the right hand side: $j \Rightarrow e$
- Ghost state for the heap of the right hand side: $\ell \mapsto_s v$

$$\begin{split} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\mathcal{E}}_{\Delta}(e, e') &\triangleq \forall j, K \{ j \mapsto K[e'] \} e \{ w. \exists w'. j \mapsto K[w'] * \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}(w, w') \} \\ \llbracket \tau_1 \to \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\Delta}(v, v') &\triangleq \forall w, w', j, K. \\ &\{ \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_{\Delta}(w, w') * j \mapsto K[v' w'] \} v w \{ z. \exists z'. j \mapsto K[z'] * \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\Delta}(z, z') \} \end{split}$$

¹See: A. Turon, D. Dreyer, and L. Birkedal. **Unifying refinement and hoare-style reasoning in a logic for higher-order concurrency**. In Proceedings of ICFP, 2013. and M. Krogh-Jespersen, K. Svendsen, and L. Birkedal. **A relational model of types-and-effects in higher-order concurrent separation logic**. In Proceedings of POPL 2017, 2017.

From iris.proofmode <u>Require</u> Impe From iris.program_logic <u>Require</u> From iris.base.logic <u>Require</u> Emp From iris.logic<u>i Require</u> Emperi From iris.logic<u>i Require</u> Imperi From iris.pretude <u>Require</u> Imperi tactics. e Export weakestpre. Export big op invariants. Require Export rules_binary typing. c <u>Require</u> ort list. tactics. uPred.

auto equiv tre (* Deal with "pointwise_relation" *) repeat lazymatch goal with

| - pointwise_relation _ _ _ = intros ?

(* Normalize away equalities. *)
repeat match goal with | H : _ ={_}= _ → _ = opply (timeless_iff _ _) in H

try (f equiv: fast done | auto equiv).

nition logN : namespace := nroot .0 "logN".

Context {heapIG Σ, cfgSG Σ}. Notation D := (prodC valC valC -m> iProp Σ). Types ti : D. Types A | ListC D. aplicit Types & : Listc D.

i a fill K (ee.2) -WP ce.1 {{ v, 1 v', j ↦ fill K (of_val v') = ti Δ (v, v') }})%I. Global Testance interp expr ne n : Proper (dist $n \rightarrow \text{dist } n \rightarrow (=) \rightarrow \text{dist } n)$ interp expr. if, solve proper, 0

from option id (cconst +)%I (A !! x). e Obligations with solve proper alt.

regram Definition interp_unit : listC D -m> D := kne & ww, (ww.1 = UnitV A ww.2 = UnitVDT. (w.1 = UnitY A w.2 = UnitY)NI. iolve Oblightions with solve_proper_alt. Program Definition interp_nat : ListC D -m> D := λme Δ ww, (3 n : N. ww.1 = fmy n A ww.2 = fmy n)NI. olve Obligations with solve_proper. rogram Definition interp_bool : listC D -n> D := λne Δ ww, (3 b ; B, w.1 = fiv b A w.2 = fiv b)\$I. Obligations with solve proper.

/rogram Definition interp_prod (interp_ interp_ : ListC D -mp D) : ListC D -mp D := list A www, (3 vv; vv; wr = (PairV (vv; 1) (vv; 1), PairV (vv; 2) (vv; 2)) A interps & vvs & interps & vvs)%I. Solve Obligations with solve_proper.

/rogram Definition interp_sum (interp1 interp2 : listC D -m> D) : listC D -m> D := λme Δ ww, ((3 vv. vv = (ToilV (vv.1), ToilV (vv.2)) & interns A vv) v (3 vv. $w = (IniRV (vv.1), IniRV (vv.2)) \land interps \land vv)) hI.$ ilve Obligations with solve proper.

logrel binary.v

Offinition interparrow (interps interps : listC D -m> D) : listC D -m> D :=

Ane A ww. interp_expr interp_A(App (of_val (ww.1)) (of_val (vv.1)), App (of val (ww.2)) (of val (vv.2))))%I. bligations with solve_proper.

ogram Definition interp_forall
 (interp : listC D -m> D := λne Δ ww, In V TI (m V ww. PersistentP (ti ww)) interp_expr interp (vi :: A) (TApp (of_val (ww.1)), TApp (of_val (ww.2)))%T. lve Obligations with solve proper.

regram Definition interp_rec1 (interp : listC D -m> D) (\$: listC D) (ti : D) : D := %ne www, (c) B vv, www = [FoldY (vv.1), FoldY (vv.2)) % > interp (ti :: \$) vv)%I. olve Obligations with solve_proper. **•** •

Global Instance interp_recl_contractive (interp : listC D -ap D) (& : listC D) : Contractive (interp_rec1 interp &).

intros a vil vi2 Hvi wu cho. apply always_ne, exist_ne; intros vv; apply and_ne; trivial. apply later_contractive =1 Hi, by rewrite Hti.

Definition interp rec (interp : listC D -n> D) : listC D -n> D := lne &, fixpoint (interp_rec; interp &). intros interp n &1 &2 H&; apply fixpoint_ne - vi www. solve_proper.

regram Definition interp_ref_inv (ll : loc = loc) : D -n> iProp Σ := lne ti, (3 vv. ll.1 =: vv.1 = ll.2 =: vv.2 = ti vv)%L.

Program Definition interp_ref (interp : ListC D -mb D) : ListC D -mb D := kne & ww, (3 ll, we (Locy (ll.1), Locy (ll.2)) A inv (Logi 40 ll) (interp_ref_inv ll (interp 6)))%I. Solve Obligations with solve_proper.

impoint interp (t : type) : listC D -n> D :=
match t return _ with
TUnit = interp unit That - intern pat TBool - interp bool TProd $\tau 1 \tau 2 = interp_prod (interp \tau 1) (interp \tau 2)$ TSum $\tau 1 \tau 2 = interp sum (interp \tau 1) (interp \tau 2)$ Isum ti tz = interp_sum (interp tl) (interp t2) TArrow ti tz = interp_sum (interp tz) TVar x = ctx_lookup x TForall t' = interp_forall (interp t') TRec t' = interp_rec (interp t') Tref t' = interp_rec (interp t')

on "[τ]" := (interp τ).

logrel binary.y Top (51.0) Git-master (Cog IU:--- logrel binary.y 25% (87.0)

finition interp_env (f : list type)
 (Δ : listC D) (vvs : list (val * val)) : iProp Σ := (length $\Gamma = \text{length vvs} = [=] zip_with (\lambda \tau, [\tau] \Delta) \Gamma vvs) hI.$ on "[[]=" := (interp_env [).

Git-master (Cog -3

Free Life_Lapel.F.e.,ref.com; <u>Heating Freed</u>, Lapel_Livery Free Life_profiled <u>Heating Long:</u> Letter, Free Life_Lapel.f.e.,ref.com; <u>Heating Lengt</u>, rules_Mirary, Free Life_heat.log() <u>Long.ref.com; Long</u>, <u>166</u>, <u>166</u>, <u>167</u>, <u>167</u>

ection bin, Log def. Context "(chysic 1).

Seriestice his, by related (7 : List type) (s s' : expr) (t : type) is $V \stackrel{*}{=} wesp,$ end_Peristent? A -head, ctx A spectrum a - $1 \stackrel{*}{=} 1 \stackrel{*}{=$

whether T^{\prime} is a folloget of ; T^{\prime} is (bir_log_related Γ = s^{-1};) (at level 24, =, s^{+}, s at rest level).

detion fundamental, Context (chySE D), Metallon & as (proof valC valC ---- LProp I), Deticit Types 0 = empt. Deticit Types 0 = twopt.

tend: Testic Angeles Seeries and Alor" scenatric(s) (Annel(s) (Annel(s) Analy in Alor (sci)); Analy in Alor (s

an union case on theoly we welcon friend rewrite Pin.af. wels trivially.

In the set constitutes at the order index is a stops of $1 \times 1 \times 2$ is very j K, even, but is not related at (0×2^{-1}) if is a stops of $1 \times 1 \times 2$ is very j K, even, but is even, if is a p ($1 \to 2$ very j is fill K (2^{-1} (even, stops (2^{-1}))) $1 \to 0^{-1}$ (even, stops (2^{-1} or 2^{-1})) ($1 \to 2^{-1}$ very ($1 \to 2^{-1}$))) $1 \to 0^{-1}$ (is clear, stops ($1 \to 2^{-1}$)) ($1 \to 2^{-1}$)))))

there it is a we p (K ?) "(deb 5 des 5 H' 5 s))".

an "! If is this top related all it for terms it.

bin ba related with C + C + Meth along Unit + Times Contrast (& vvs. p. 7) "Mith & He & H()"; Clotres () K) "H(/w", when case, (Exists Delive contra-

his top related out F a 1 F a data store data 1 Mat.

there is use of 7) "With & He & Hills"; iteless () to "Hill /w", when seen their to the there is making

his is stated build by F a de b slope de b i Thoul-

Linkson (& was p 7) "M(III) & He & H()"; Linkson () K) "H(/o"

(Different) | f = e1 elope e1* | 11) (Different) | f = e1 elope e1* | 12) | (birtyped) : to ap along bair an' i Threat al al

There is no point with a star information of point point and the point of the point point

. (news bir_log_related_fwt f e e' vi v2 (Detyped : f = e slope e' vi vrod v1 v2) : f = fat e slope fat e' i v1.

there is a very p 71 field 5 is 5 (27) (Defens () 10 field of a sector back of the field of the

Contraction of the strength of U: ---- fundamental binary.y Top (26.0)

fundamental binary.v

I a light a slope light of a (Thus 11 12). Hundr. (Betros (A yes a 7) "Mille 6 Hs & Hill"; Listons () () "M) /w". smartup, biod (Del(Cos) v v'-"(v #0)/" ("Diffused as) ((+ Del(Cos))); cir. vitus, cass. (Del(St ())); cir. vitus, cass. (Del(St ())); cir. (Del(Tos)); cir.)

The shift has not the set of the

 $\label{eq:second} \begin{array}{c} r \in \mathcal{M} = \{ 0, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 5, 4, 5, 1, 5$

n bin, log, related, if fog og og og og 'es' og'e (Derrygeds i fo og alege og'e Theol) (Derrygeds i fo og alege og'e Theol)

There is an a 11 with 6 in 6 MTT, there is 0 in 79 Arc. There are a set of the set of t

(Intropoly 1 f = es elupe es' : Hert) (Intropoly 1 f = es elupe es' : Hert) : (Intropoly 1 f = es elupe es' : Hert) : f = Bidde un es es fore Bidde un es' = bicen res fore res

The second secon

imme bin_log_related_rec f (s s' : sepri vi v2 (Petimed : # 7, s.)upr (5 (5 (target fi)) f) = s) (Petimed : # 7, s.)upr (5 (5 (target fi)) f) = s') (Rethyped : Herman vi v2 (1 (5) f = s slope s' + v2) + f = base slower base of the state vit.

The state of logs that 2^{-1} if there is the 2^{-1} is the set of 2^{-1} is the set

(Introduction and the set of the

The set of fundamental binary.y 24% (139.0) TU:-

event_sq_kind (AppCis v) w w' "[AppCis v])); the ('Sollyyed2 ______ (C == (AppCis v'))); the (AppCis ('Sollyyed2 ______) (C == (AppCis v'))); the

anne bin_log_related_time f e e' t (Detypel : (subst (ren (+1)) 40-f) = e eloge e' : t) : f = Tum e eloge Tum e' : Thorett t.

curve. Lintres (& vvs p 7) "#(Wh & He & HC)"; Lintres () K) "N(/v". value_rese. Lintres (ResV_); Lintres "(SN) /r le"; Lintres (vi 7)' K') "Ny /v".

There is a single of the transformation of

(Diffsped : F = e alloge e' : ...((Rec :)/)) : F = f = e alloge e' : ...((Rec :)/)) :

The state and a state of the s

(Diffuent of the state of the s' t (Diffuent of the state of the s' t f a biffed a state problem () to ((The t))

(Dittand : f + e aloge e' : Tinit) : f - f e for e aloge fork e : Tinit) :

thesis an area to the little fithering ("Different - - - 111) made

(Detgood - Face along a' 1 x) -To Along a stop Along a' 1 x) -

There is two a 7) with 4 is 4 mTr's (Detron () () 700 / 4", series (a two a 7) with 4 is 4 mTr's (Detron () () 700 / 4", series (a two () 100 / 4" () 100 / 4" () 100 / 4", () 100 / 4", series () 100 / 4", () 100 / 4", () 100 / 4", () 100 / 4", Series () 100 / 4", () 100 / 4", () 100 / 4", () 100 / 4", Series () 100 / 4", () 100 / 4", () 100 / 4", () 100 / 4", Series () 100 / 4", () 100 / 4", () 100 / 4", () 100 / 4", Series () 100 / 4", () 100 / 4", () 100 / 4", () 100 / 4", Series () 100 / 4", () 100 / 4", () 100 / 4", () 100 / 4", Series () 100 / 4", () 100 / 4", () 100 / 4", () 100 / 4", () 100 / 4", Series () 100 / 4", Dest (investige (inpl () (1))) _ [] or (wells well) The other constants (inpl () (1)) _ [] or (wells well) (Heat; Staints (v. s'); by Drume.) (Heat; Staints (v. s'); by Drume.) Mediterer (Drinks (Leev 1)), Sframe 'H(", Steints (L, 1); mutty

The set of the set of

Line (Lup 4 (1,1)) at [10 er]) [304 (100 er]) er] (100 er]) (100 er]) (100 er]) [10 er]] [11 (1 er]] (100 er]] (100 er]) [100 er]] [11 er]] (100 er]] [100 er]] [100 er]] (100 er]] [100 er]] [100 er]] [100 er]] [100 er]]] (100 er]] [100 er]] [100 er]] [100 er]] [100 er]] [100 er]] [100 er]]] (100 er]] [100 er]] [

(Ditype1; if = e; stope f e; e; e; e; e; (Ditype1; if = e; stope e; i(tref t)) (Ditype1; if = e; stope e; i(tref t)) (Ditype1; if = e; stope e; i(trif))

(man bis.log.related.CAS f eg eg eg eg' eg' eg' (Depr: 607per e) (Depred: f = eg elops eg' : Terf t) (Debryed: f = eg elops eg' : t) (Debryed: f = eg elops eg' : t) (Debryed: g eg elops Ces en' eg' eg' i Thool.

And M. (19) I. I. I. To V. V. I. HARRAN, A Hand Angenes, Stratut, and Angenes, and A. J. A. M. (1998). A strategy of the st Converse for a second so that a second source of the second source of th

fundamental binary.v 53% (248.0) NU:--- fundamental binary.v Bot (363.0)

Examples of refinement of concurrent programs

Fine-grained/coarse-grained counter pair

```
let FG counter =
  let c = ref 0 in
   let read () = !c in
   let rec increment () =
      let x = !c in if CAS(c, x, x+1) then () else increment ()
    in (increment, read)
let CG counter =
  let c = ref 0 in let l = make lock () in
   let read () = !c in
    let increment () = acquire 1; c := !c + 1; release 1
    in (increment, read)
```

We show: $\llbracket (1
ightarrow 1) imes (1
ightarrow \mathbb{N})
rbrace{0.1em}{0.1em} \mathbb{C} \mathbb{G}_{-} \texttt{counter}, \mathbb{C} \mathbb{G}_{-} \texttt{counter})$

► Fine-grained/coarse-grained stack pair with push, pop and iter operations

Trusted computing base



Figure 1. A formally verified stack of abstractions.

The only thing that needs be trusted is CoqWe use the **adequacy** of Iris to prove theorems (contextual refinement, typesafety, ...) in Coq

The refinement proven in Coq

```
Theorem counter_ctx_refinement :
  [] ⊨ FG_counter ≤ctx≤ CG_counter :
        TProd (TArrow TUnit TUnit) (TArrow TUnit TNat).
```

```
Definition ctx_refines (\Gamma : list type)

(e e' : expr) (\tau : type) := \forall K thp \sigma v,

typed_ctx K \Gamma \tau [] TUnit \rightarrow

rtc step ([fill_ctx K e], \emptyset) (of_val v :: thp, \sigma) \rightarrow

\exists thp' \sigma' v', rtc step ([fill_ctx K e'], \emptyset) (of_val v' :: thp', \sigma

').

Notation "\Gamma \models e '\leqctx\leq' e' : \tau" :=

(ctx_refines \Gamma e e' \tau) (at level 74, e, e', \tau at next level).
```

Future work

- On the technical side:
 - ▶ Use a more sensible binding representation (we currently use De Bruijn indexes)
 - Better facilitate symbolic execution for F_{µ,ref,conc}
- Prove more interesting (and larger) cases of contextual refinements
- Logical relations for languages with richer type systems and features (e.g., continuations, type-and-effect systems, algebraic effects, ...)
- Apply it to other application (e.g., non-interference proofs, compiler correctness, secure compilation, ...)
- > Your logical relation applications?! Please do not hesitate to talk to us!

Thanks

Thanks!